

January 27, 2013

The code proposal to delete Article 90 of the National Electrical Code in it entirely and rewrite it to put your own interpretations of a Article 90 in supplement form to be enforce need to be reconsider. The Article 90 in the NEC is the must powerful code in the Code book and should never be alter unless it is done by the committee who study and write the NEC code book. By deleting Article 90 of the NEC you are stating that the Authority Having Jurisdiction shall and may deviate from the whole entire National Electrical Code book which is a book that has regulated the Electrical Industry for many of years. The NEC is a very structure electrical safety book that has been put together by the best experts in the electrical industry, by deleting the entire Article you are giving the AHJ the authority to override any Article in the National Electrical Code Book. If you are going to delete the Article 90 you just as well should have the AHJ write their own Standard Electrical safety code book. The NEC is a good electrical safety book that have been the standard in the electrical industry before any BOCA, ICC and many other standard safety organization started. If you start deviating from the NEC there may not be no need for qualified person in the electrical industry for the future. There are good provisions in Article 90 as it is written that give the AHJ authority to rule on any code issue as it relate to the electrical industry. Article 90 of the NEC should not be move and located under the DCMR Supplement where it can subjected to unqualified person interpreting electrical codes.

Marc Vau